

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
United States Attorney

2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 OWEN P. MARTIKAN (CSBN 177104)
5 Assistant United States Attorneys

6 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
7 San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7241
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
owen.martikan@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR 08-0911 MHP
14)
15 Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
16 v.) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
17 DEON DOGAN,)
18 Defendant.)
19 _____)

20
21 On April 2, 2009, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for identification of
22 counsel and a detention hearing. The parties stipulated and the Court agreed that time should be
23 excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from April 2, 2009, through April 6, 2009, for
24 effective preparation of defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance
25 would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking
26 into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also
27 agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best

28 //

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CASE NO. CR 08-0911 MHP

1 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

2 SO STIPULATED:

3 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

4 /s/
5 DATED: _____

6 OWEN P. MARTIKAN
Assistant United States Attorney

7 /s/
8 DATED: _____

9 RONALD C. TYLER
Attorney for Deon Dogan

10

11 [PROPOSED] ORDER

12 As the Court found on April 2, 2009, and for the reasons stated above, an exclusion of
13 time from April 2, 2009, through April 6, 2009, is warranted because the ends of justice served
14 by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
15 *See* 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny
16 defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
17 exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C.
18 §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

19

20 SO ORDERED.

21

22 DATED: 4/3/2009 _____
23


HON. BERNARD ZIMMERMAN
United States Magistrate Judge

24

25

26

27

28